

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,288	12/08/2003	Ileana Capote	23078	1590
7590 07/12/2004		EXAMINER		
Sanchelima and Associates, P. A.			GORDON, STEPHEN T	
Jesus Sanchelima, Esq. 235 S.W. Le Jeune Rd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Miami, FL 33134			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)	-H
Office Action Summans	/1
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	H
Stephen Gordon 3612	N
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	<u></u>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on.
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	.0
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-8-03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/729,288

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the term "means" (i.e. legal phraseology) throughout. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the tradenames "Velcro" and "Ziploc" referenced 6 places total on page 6 – lines 9 and 20 should each appear in all capital letters.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, "the upper frame portion" and "the upper end" lack clear antecedent basis and could be replaced with —an upper frame portion—and —an upper end—respectively for clarity. Additionally, lines 7-8 are somewhat awkward, and —a—could be inserted after "for" of line 7 to clarify the claim in this regard.

Re claim 4, lines 2-3 are somewhat confusing, and —said—could be inserted before "contiguous" to correct the claim in this regard as best understood.

Re claim 5, lines 2-3 are somewhat confusing, and —said—could be inserted before "contiguous" to correct the claim in this regard as best understood.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/729,288

Art Unit: 3612

Page 3

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Chang.
- 6. Claims 1-2, as best understood, are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese '216.
- 7. Claim 1, as best understood, is alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese '227.
- 8. Claims 3-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note at least Cano additionally teaches a door rain shield assembly.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 Page 4

stg